

## A Generalization of Gini Simpson index under Fuzzy Environment

*Satish kumar*

*Chandigarh University and Maharishi Markandeshwar University.*

### ABSTRACT

The current paper, logically based on Gini Simpson index of diversity, in the setting of fuzzy set theory we introduced the measure of fuzzy entropy. [Type equation here.](#) The fuzzy entropy axiomatic requirements are satisfied in a mathematical view point for the new fuzzy entropy. To show the successfulness of the proposed entropy we compare it with the some existing entropies.

*Keywords:* Shannon entropy (SE); Tsallis entropy (TE); Fuzzy set (FS); Gini Simpson. .

### I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of the FS created by Zadeh [33] to demonstrate and process uncertain data in a much successful manner. By allocating the membership degree between 0 and 1 to the elements of a set, the FS can depict the state between "belong to" and "not belong to". So, numerous types of uncertainties that can't be delineated by classical sets can be portrayed by FS. Initially, FSs has been applied in numerous territories, for example, decision-making, automatic control, pattern recognition and so on. The Zadeh [34] was the first to propose the entropy of FS to portray the fuzziness. De Luca and Termini [7] extend the zadeh's work by proposing a probabilistic entropy measures for FSs. Yager [32] characterized an entropy proportion of a FS in regards in absence of capability between FS and its complement. They proposed some axiomatic characteristics to measure the fuzzy entropy by which we can define the fuzzy entropy. Yager's idea was reached out by Higashi and Klir [12] to a progressively broad sort of fuzzy complementation. As a result of its importance in delineating a fuzzy set, the entropy measure of FS has been creating to a functioning subject in FS theory. In the fuzzy environment, many authors have described various theories on entropy measures, such as the

papers ( Kosko [19], Hwang and yung [11], Pal and Pal [24], Verma and Sharma [28], Joshi and Kumar [14, 16, 20], Hooda [9], Li and Lu [21], Bhandari and Pal [5], Pal and Pal [25]).

The proposed measure is Gini Simpson’s index which is neither additive nor non additive entropy measure from probabilistic setting to fuzzy set theory. Some researcher studied the generalized entropy [5,14,16,17,20,31,23].

The major targets of bringing out this theory are: (1) To introduce a parametric fuzzy information measure based on the Gini Simpson diversity (2) Comparative analysis is given with existing entropies in the literature based on linguistic variables.

The following paper is structured as Section[2] consists of some definitions and basic concept related to FSs. In Section[3], we proposed and proved the basic properties of new fuzzy information measure. Section[4] with the help of examples we will analyze the comparison of proposed measure with some existing fuzzy entropy measures. In the last section[5], the paper is summarised with “Conclusions” in Section 5.

## 2. Basic Concepts and Definitions

In Section 2 following notations will be used.  $R = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$  be a fixed set;  $FSS(R)$  is the class of all fuzzy sets of R.

**Definition 2.1** A FSK on R is described as :

$$K = \{(r, \lambda_K(r)) \mid r \in R\},$$

in which  $\lambda_K : R \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a membership function of K in FSs ,

$\lambda_K(r)$  is the value of the membership function of the element r from R.

**Definition 2.2** A FSK  $\bar{K}$  is said to be crisper than K if

$$\lambda_{\bar{K}}(r) \leq \lambda_K(r), \text{ when } \lambda_K(r) \leq 0.5;$$

and

$$\lambda_{\bar{K}}(r) \geq \lambda_K(r), \text{ when } \lambda_K(r) \geq 0.5.$$

**Definition 2.3** Let  $K, L \in FSS(R)$  be such that

(a)  $\bar{K} = \{(r, 1 - \lambda_K(r)) \mid r \in R\}$ . (Complement)

(b)  $K \cup L = \{(r, \sup(\lambda_K(r), \lambda_L(r)) \mid r \in R\}$ . (Union)

(c)  $K \cap L = \{(r, \inf(\lambda_K(r), \lambda_L(r)) \mid r \in R\}$ . (Intersection)

First time to measure the uncertainty associated with a fuzzy set was made by Zadeh [33] was the first who measures the uncertainty associated with FS and also defined the (weighted) entropy of a FSK with respect to  $(R, S)$  in a much better way as:

$$J(K) = -\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_K(r_i) \log(r_i), \quad r_i \in R. \quad (2.2)$$

Luca and Termini [7] was the first ones who gave the following axioms for entropy of FSs as :

**A1 (Sharpness):**  $J(K)$  is minimum if and only if  $K$  is crisp set .

**A2 (Maximality):**  $J(K)$  is maximum if and only if  $K$  is the most fuzzy set.

**A3 (Resolution):**  $J(K) \geq J(K^*)$ , if  $K^*$  is crisper than  $K$  .

**A4 (Symmetry):**  $J(K) = J(\bar{K})$ , where  $\bar{K}$  is the complement set of  $K$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_{\bar{K}}(r_i) = 1 - \lambda_K(r_i)$ .

Throughout this paper, it is assumed that  $k \in I^+$  (set of positive integers) and base of all logarithms are 2 .

Corresponding to Shannon entropy, De Luca and Termini [7] defined a fuzzy entropy for a fuzzy set  $K$  as :

$$J(K) = -L \sum_{i=1}^k [\lambda_K(r_i) \log(\lambda_K(r_i)) + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i)) \log(1 - \lambda_K(r_i))] \quad (2.3)$$

For some constant  $L=1/k$

Several generalized fuzzy entropies were defined by authors e.g., In 1993, Bhandari and Pal [5] suggested new fuzzy entropy measures based on the Ren [26] as follows :

$$J_{bh}^\alpha(K) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^k \log [\lambda_K(r_i)^\alpha + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^\alpha] \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\alpha > 0 (\neq 1)$  .

In section [3] , we proposed a new entropy of FSs. To prove the effectiveness of the proposed entropy we made some comparison with the existing one.

### 3. A new fuzzy information measure

For this section we briefly review the theoretical concept of information theory and then introduce a generalization of Gini- Simpson entropy, along with studying with their properties.

Let  $\Gamma_k = \{S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) : s_i \geq 0; \sum_{i=1}^k s_i = 1\}$ ,  $k \geq 2$  be set of  $k$ -complete probability

distributions. For any probability distributions  $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \in \Gamma_k$ , Shannon [27] defined an entropy as:

$$J_{SH}(S) = -\sum_{i=1}^k (s_i) \log(s_i) \tag{3.1}$$

Tsallis [29] introduced a generalized form of Shannon entropy, Tsallis entropy is defined by

$$J_{TS}^\alpha(S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^\alpha \right]; \alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty).$$

Since,  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} H_{TS}^\alpha(S) = H_{SH}(S)$ .

In particular, Tsallis [29] and Ren entropy [26] having a close relationship between them as follows:

$$J_{Ren}^\alpha(S) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log_D(1 - (1 - \alpha)J_\alpha(S)) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log_D \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^\alpha,$$

where  $J_{Ren}^\alpha(S)$  is the Renyi entropy.

The major difference between them is, the Renyi [26] and Shannon [27] entropy are additive whereas the Tsallis entropy [29] is non-additive.

$$\text{i.e., } J_{TS}^\alpha(S, T) = J_{TS}^\alpha(S) + J_{TS}^\alpha(T) + (1 - \alpha)J_{TS}^\alpha(S)J_{TS}^\alpha(T),$$

where  $S, T \in \Gamma_k$ .

However, in the literature of information theory, there exists various generalizations of Shannon's entropy [27], we introduced a new information measure  $J_2^{new} : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$  (set of positive real numbers);  $k \geq 2$  as follows:

$$J_2^{new}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sqrt{s_i} - s_i^2 \right); \alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty).$$

Which is known as a generalization of Gini Simpson index of diversity.

### 3.1 Properties of proposed entropy

**Theorem 3.1** *The parametric entropy  $J_2^{new}(S)$ ,  $S \in \Gamma_k$ , satisfied below said properties:*

#### 1. Symmetry:

$J_2^{new}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$  is a symmetric function of  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ .

2. **Non-Negative:**

$$J_2^{new}(S) \geq 0.$$

3. **Expansible:**

$$J_2^{new}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k, 0) = J_2^{new}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k).$$

4. **Decisive:**

$$J_2^{new}(0,1) = 0 = J_2^{new}(1,0).$$

5. **Maximality:**

$$H_2^{new}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \leq H_2^{new}\left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}, \dots, \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

6. **Concavity:**

$$J_2^{new}(tS_1 + (1-t)S_2) \geq tJ_2^{new}(S_1) + (1-t)J_2^{new}(S_2).$$

7. **Continuity:**  $J_2^{new}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$  is continuous in the region  $s_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Proof: Proof of the above theorem are trivial and omitted.

**3.2 Definition**

Corresponding to (3.5), we proposed the following fuzzy information measure:

$$J_2^{new}(K) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ (\lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} - (\lambda_K(r_i))^2 + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^2 \right]$$

We show that the new proposed fuzzy entropy measure satisfy all the entropy properties which are given in the preceding theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** The fuzzy entropy measure (3.6) satisfied four fuzzy entropy axiomatic requirements.

**Proof:** For validity the measure defined by (3.6), we should fulfill the axiomatic requirements (A1)-(A4).

**A1(Sharpness):** From (3.5), we have

$$J_2^{new}(K) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ (\lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} - (\lambda_K(r_i))^2 + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^2 \right] \quad (3.7)$$

If  $J_2^{new}(M) = 0$  in (3.5), then

$$(\lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} - (\lambda_K(r_i))^2 + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^2 = 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Clearly (3.8) will be satisfied if  $\lambda_K(r_i) = 0$  or 1, for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Conversely, Let K be a non FS, i.e., crisp set, then either  $\lambda_K(r_i) = 0$  or 1. This implies that

$$(\lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{1/2} - (\lambda_K(r_i))^2 + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^2 = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

Hence,  $J_2^{new}(K) = 0$  iff K is a crisp set, i.e.,  $\lambda_K(r_i) = 0$  or 1 for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

**A2 (Maximality):** Differentiating (3.6) with respect to  $\lambda_K(r_i)$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial J_2^{new}(K)}{\partial \lambda_K(r_i)} = \frac{1}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \lambda_K(r_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} - \left[ 2 \left\{ \lambda_K(r_i) - (1 - \lambda_K(r_i)) \right\} \right] \right]$$

Differentiating (3.13) with respect to  $\lambda_K(r_i)$ , again, we get,

$$\frac{\partial^2 J_2^{new}(K)}{\partial \lambda_K(r_i)^2} = \frac{1}{k} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right) \left( \lambda_K(r_i)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + ((1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \right) - [4] \right]$$

We can prove that  $\frac{\partial^2 J_2^{new}(K)}{\partial \lambda_K(r_i)^2} < 0$ . It is evident that

$$\frac{\partial J_2^{new}(K)}{\partial \lambda_K(r_i)} = 0, \text{ when } \lambda_K(r_i) = 0.5.$$

This proves that  $J_2^{new}(K)$  is a concave function and has a global maximum at  $\lambda_K(r_i) = 0.5$ .

It proves that  $J_2^{new}(K)$  is maximum iff K is the most fuzzy set, i.e.,  $\lambda_K(r_i) = 0.5$  for all  $r_i$ .

**A3(Resolution):** In (3.10), we have

$$\frac{\partial J_2^{new}(K)}{\partial \lambda_K(r_i)} > 0 \text{ in } [0,0.5)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial J_2^{new}(K)}{\partial \lambda_K(r_i)} < 0 \text{ in } (0.5,1]$$

and  $\frac{\partial J_2^{new}(K)}{\partial \lambda_K(r_i)} = 0$  at  $\lambda_K(r_i) = .5$

Therefore,  $J_2^{new}(K)$  is an increasing function of  $\lambda_K(r_i)$  in  $[0,0.5)$  and decreasing function of  $\lambda_K(r_i)$  in  $(0.5,1]$ .

Now, let  $K^*$  be crisper than K. This implies

$$0 \leq \lambda_{K^*}(r_i) \leq \lambda_K(r_i) < 0.5 \Rightarrow J_2^{new}(K^*) \leq J_2^{new}(K) \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$0.5 < \lambda_K(r_i) \leq \lambda_{K^*}(r_i) \leq 1 \Rightarrow J_2^{new}(K^*) \leq J_2^{new}(K). \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.12) and (3.13), we get  $J_2^{new}(K^*) \leq J_2^{new}(K)$ , where  $K^*$  is crisper than K

**A4(Symmetry):** This is straightforward by the definition of  $J_2^{new}(K)$  and  $\lambda_{\bar{K}}(r_i) = 1 - \lambda_K(r_i)$ . Hence,  $J_2^{new}(K)$  satisfies all properties in the axiomatic definition of fuzzy measure. Therefore,  $J_2^{new}(K)$  is a fuzzy measure of FSSs.

Now, we have a property of the proposed information measure.

**Theorem 3.3** For  $K, L \in FSSs(R)$ ,  $J_2^{new}(K \cup L) + J_2^{new}(K \cap L) = J_2^{new}(K) + J_2^{new}(L)$ .

Proof: Let

$$R_1 = \{r \in R \mid \lambda_K(r) \geq \lambda_L(r)\}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$R_2 = \{r \in R \mid \lambda_K(r) < \lambda_L(r)\}. \quad (3.15)$$

where  $\lambda_K(r)$  and  $\lambda_L(r)$  are the membership functions of K and L, respectively.

If  $r \in R_1$ , then  $\lambda_{K \cup L}(r) = \max\{\lambda_K(r), \lambda_L(r)\} = \lambda_K(r)$  and

$$\lambda_{K \cap L}(r) = \min\{\lambda_K(r), \lambda_L(r)\} = \lambda_L(r).$$

If  $r \in R_2$ , then  $\lambda_{K \cup L}(r) = \max\{\lambda_K(r), \lambda_L(r)\} = \lambda_L(r)$  and

$$\lambda_{K \cap L}(r) = \min\{\lambda_K(r), \lambda_L(r)\} = \lambda_K(r).$$

Now, consider

$$\begin{aligned} & J_2^{new}(K \cup L) + J_2^{new}(K \cap L) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ (\lambda_K(r_i))^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\lambda_K(r_i))^2 + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^2 \right\} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \left\{ (\lambda_L(r_i))^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 - \lambda_L(r_i))^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\lambda_L(r_i))^2 + (1 - \lambda_L(r_i))^2 \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On simplifying, we get

$$J_2^{new}(K \cup L) + J_2^{new}(K \cap L) = J_2^{new}(K) + H_2^{new}(L).$$

#### 4. Numerical Examples

In this part, to check the validity and the effectiveness of the new fuzzy measure  $J_2^{new}(K)$  we

Will compare it with the existing fuzzy measures which are widely used for FSs with the help of some examples. Some of the accepted fuzzy measures for FSs are proposed by :

Yager [32] is :

$$J_{Y_1}(K) = 1 - \frac{d_p(K, K^c)}{n^p}.$$

Kosko [19] is :

$$J_{KOS}(K) = \frac{d_p(K, K_{near})}{d_p(K, K_{far})}.$$

Pal and Pal [25] is :

$$J_{Pal}(K) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \lambda_K(r_i) e^{1 - \lambda_K(r_i)} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i)) e^{\lambda_K(r_i)} \right]$$



Li and Liu [21] is :

$$J_{LL}(K) = \sum_{i=1}^k S(cr(\xi_p = r_i)).$$

Hwang and Yung [11] is :

$$J_{HY}(K) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \left(1 - e^{-\lambda_{K^c}(r_i)}\right) I_{\left[\lambda_K(r_i) \geq \frac{1}{2}\right]} + \left(1 - e^{-\lambda_K(r_i)}\right) I_{\left[\lambda_K(r_i) < \frac{1}{2}\right]} \right].$$

Joshi and Satish [18] :

$$J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K) = \frac{\alpha \times \beta}{k(\alpha - \beta)} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ \left( \lambda_K(r_i)^{\beta} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} - \left( \lambda_K(r_i)^{\alpha} + (1 - \lambda_K(r_i))^{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\} \right].$$

**Example 1:** Consider a FS  $K_1$  of  $R = \{3,4,5,6,7\}$ . The FS is defined as:

$$K_1 = \{(3,0.1), (4,0.3), (5,0.4), (6,0.9), (7,1)\}.$$

Then the modifier for the fuzzy set

$$K = \{(r, (\lambda_K(r)) | r \in R\}$$

in R is given by

$$K^n = \{(r, \lambda_K(r))^k | r \in R\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Based on the operations, Hwang and Yang [11] and Hung and Yang [13] and in equation (4.1), we have:

$$K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} = \{(3,0.316), (4,0.548), (5,0.632), (6,0.949), (7,1)\},$$

$$K_1^2 = \{(3,0.01), (4,0.09), (5,0.16), (6,0.81), (7,1)\},$$

$$K_1^3 = \{(3,0.001), (4,0.027), (5,0.064), (6,0.729), (7,1)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$K_1^4 = \{(3,0), (4,0.008), (5,0.026), (6,0.656), (7,1)\}.$$

We can regard the FS  $K_1$  is "LARGE" on R by considering the characterization of linguistics variables. Correspondingly, to FSs  $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, K_1^2, K_1^3$  and  $K_1^4$  may be behaved as "More or Less Large", "Very LARGE", "Quite Very LARGE", "Very Very LARGE", respectively. The idea of Shannon's entropy has been utilized for simple weighting calculation method [31, 32]. If the

value of the information entropy is greater then the entropy weight information will be lesser[22] ,The specific attribute will provide the lesser information as the smaller the different alternatives in this specific attribute and in decision making process this specific attribute impotence will be less [31]. Intuitively, hidden loss of information will become less from  $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$  to  $K_1^4$  and the entropy provided by them increasing. So the following order will holds [11, 13, 18].

$$J(K^{\frac{1}{2}}) > J(K) > J(K^2) > J(K^3) > J(K^4).$$

To make a comparison, entropy measures  $J_{Y_1}(K_1), J_{KOS}(K_1), J_{Pal}(K_1), J_{LL}(K_1), J_{HY}(K_1), J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_1), J_2^{new}(K_1)$  are placed to find the analysis. We will compare the different results obtained from the different measures as shown in Table1.

Table 1: Fuzzziness values corresponding to distinct information measures

Fuzzy Sets	$J_{Y_1}(K_1)$	$J_{KOS}(K_1)$	$J_{Pal}(K_1)$	$J_{LL}(K_1)$	$J_{HY}(K_1)$	$J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_1)$	$J_2^{new}(K_1)$
$K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$	0.397	0.220	1.389	0.810	0.505	0.4672	0.3857
$K_1$	0.360	0.311	1.331	0.723	0.397	0.4672	0.3442
$K_1^2$	0.167	0.099	1.202	0.378	0.212	0.2834	0.2349
$K_1^3$	0.145	0.078	1.151	0.870	0.167	0.2202	0.1795
$K_1^4$	0.151	0.082	1.136	0.692	0.165	0.1906	0.1531

From the above table we observed that when we apply the entropy measure  $J_{Y_1}(K_1)$ ,

$J_{KOS}(K_1)$  and  $J_{LL}(K_1)$  FS  $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$  will be assigned less entropy thanK. Based on these measures we will obtained the order of ranking as follows :

$$J_{Y_1}(K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) > J_{Y_1}(K_1) > J_{Y_1}(K_1^2) > J_{Y_1}(K_1^4) > J_{Y_1}(K_1^3),$$

$$J_{KOS}(K_1) > J_{KOS}(K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) > J_{KOS}(K_1^2) > J_{KOS}(m_1^4) > J_{KOS}(K_1^3),$$

$$J_{LL}(K_1^3) > J_{LL}(K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) > J_{LL}(K_1) > J_{LL}(K_1^4) > J_{LL}(K_1^2),$$

From the above order it is clear that this order of entropy measures is not satisfying the intuitive analysis equation (4.2), while rest of the entropy measures are providing the desirable results.  $J_{Pal}(K_1)$ ,  $J_{HY}(K_1)$ ,  $J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_1)$  and  $J_2^{new}(K_1)$  are doing well in this example. This shows that these entropy measures are not sufficient enough to differentiate the uncertainty of FSs with linguistic information.

**Example 2:** Take another FS  $K_2$  defines on R as :

$$K_2 = \{(3,0.2), (4,0.3), (5,0.4), (6,0.7), (7,0.8)\}.$$

We calculate  $K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $K_2^2$ ,  $K_2^3$  and  $K_2^4$ . Now we compare only  $J_{Pal}(K_2)$ ,  $J_{HY}(K_2)$ ,  $J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2)$  and  $J_2^{new}(K_2)$ .

Table 2: Fuzziness values with  $J_{Pal}(K_2)$ ,  $J_{HY}(K_2)$ ,  $J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2)$  and  $J_2^{new}(K_2)$ .

Fuzzy sets	$J_{Pal}(K_2)$ ,	$J_{HY}(K_2)$	$J_{(\alpha=0.3)}^{(\beta=10)}(K_2)$	$J_2^{new}(K_2)$ .
$K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.501	0.653	1.1919	0.5092
$K_2$	1.513	0.616	1.2073	0.4981
$K_2^2$	1.386	0.490	1.0206	0.4046
$K_2^3$	1.094	0.393	0.8418	0.3207
$K_2^4$	1.241	0.298	0.7006	0.2613

Moreover, the results obtained from entropy measures  $J_{Pal}(K_2)$ ,  $J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2)$  are also not reasonable, which we can see from the following equations:

$$J_{Pal}(K_2) > J_{Pal}(K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) > J_{Pal}(K_2^2) > J_{Pal}(K_2^4) > J_{Pal}(K_2^3),$$

$$J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2) < J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K^{\frac{1}{2}}) > J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2^2) > J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2^3) > J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2^4).$$

Therefore, the entropy measures  $J_{Pal}(K_2), J_{\alpha}^{\beta}(K_2)$  are not suitable for differentiating the information conveyed by FSs. But  $J_{HY}(K_2)$  and  $J_2^{new}(K_2)$  are also satisfy the ranking order in equation (4.2). The effectiveness of proposed fuzzy measure  $J_2^{new}(K_2)$  and  $J_{HY}(K_2)$  is indicated by this example once again. Hence, the proposed measure consider one parameter which increase the flexibility due to the paramter  $\alpha$  whereas  $J_{HY}$  does not due to the absense of parameters. Therefore, the proposed measure is encouraging. So the presence of parameter in an information measure makes it flexible from application point of view.

## II. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper ,a new information measure which is generalization of Gini Simpson index of diversity has been effectively introduced .We found that the four axiomatic requirements properties are satisfied with the new fuzzy entropy. The proposed information measure has been compared with existing entropies. Some numerical examples based on linguistic terms have been offered to show the successful applicability of the proposed information measure. Another possible topic for future research is to use proposed entropy in the fuzzy setting for multi criteria decision making problems which can be applied in evaluating mobile services. The proposed entropy can further be applied to the concept of the parametric directed divergence measure, similarity and dissimilarity measure for fuzzy sets, interval valued intuitionistic, pythagorian and picture fuzzy sets, coding theory etc.

## References

- [1] Aczel, J. and Daroczy, Z. (1975). *On measures of information and their characterization*, Academic Press, New York.
- [2] Arimoto, S. (1971). *Information-theoretical considerations on estimations problems*, Information Control , Vol. 19, 181-191.
- [3] Alghamdi, M.A., Alshehri, N.O. and Akram, M.(2018). *Multi-criteria decision making methods in bipolar fuzzy environment*, *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, Vol.20, 5-6.
- [4] Boekee, D.E. and Vander Lubbe, J.C.A. (1980). *The R-norm information measure*, Information Control, Vol.45, 136-155.
- [5] Bhandari, D. and Pal, N.R. (1993). *Some new information measures for fuzzy sets*, Information Sciences , Vol.67(3),204-228.
- [6] Chen, C.T.(2000). *Extension of the TOPSIS for group decision-making under fuzzy environment*. Fuzzy Sets System, Vol.1( 114), 1-9.
- [7] De Luca, A. and Termini, S. (1972). *A definition of a non-probabilistic entropy in the setting of fuzzy sets theory*, Information Control , Vol.20, 301-312.
- [8] Havrda, J.H. and Charvat, F. (1967). *Quantification method classification process: concept of structural  $\alpha$ -entropy*, Kybernetika, Vol.3, 30-35.
- [9] Hooda, D.S. (2004). *On generalized measures of fuzzy entropy*, Mathematica Slovaca, Vol.54, 315-325.
- [10] Hwang, C.L. and Yoon, K.(1981). *Multiple attribute decision-making-methods and applications*, In A State of the Art Survey; Springer: New York, NY, USA .
- [11] Hwang, C.H. and Yang, M.S. (2008). *On entropy of fuzzy sets*. International

- Journal of Uncertainty and Fuzziness Knowledge-Based System, Vol.16, 519- 527.
- [12] Higashi, M. and Klir, G.J. (1982). *On measures of fuzziness and fuzzy complements*, International Journal of General Systems, Vol.8, 169-180.
- [13] Hung, W.L. and Yang, M.S. (2006). *Fuzzy entropy on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, International Journal of Intelligent Systems, Vol. 21,443-451.
- [14] Kumar, S., Choudhari, A., Ram, G. and Gupta, V. (2013). *On useful relative information measures of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$* , International Journal of Information and Management Sciences, Vol. 24, 95-106.
- [15] Joshi, R., Kumar, S. (2016). *(R, S)-norm information measure and a relation between coding and questionnaire theory*, Open Systems and Information Dynamics, Vol. 23(3), 1-12.
- [16] Joshi, R. and Kumar, S. (2016). *A new approach in multiple attribute decision making using R-norm entropy and Hamming distance measure*, International Journal of Information and Management Sciences, Vol. 27(3), 253-268.
- [17] Joshi, R. and Kumar, S. (2019). *A new approach in multiple attribute decision making using exponential hesitant fuzzy entropy*, International Journal of Information and Management Sciences, **In press**.
- [18] Joshi, R. and Kumar, S. (2018). *An (R, S) -norm fuzzy information measure with its applications in multiple-attribute decision-making*, Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol.37(3), 2943-2964.
- [19] Kosko, B. (1986). *Fuzzy entropy and conditioning*, Information sciences, Vol.40(2), 165-174.
- [20] Kumar, S. and Kumar, A. (2012) *Some coding theorem on generalized Havrda-Charvat-Tsallis entropy*, Tamking journal of mathematics. Vol. 43(1), 437-444.
- [21] Li, P. and Liu, B.(2008). *Entropy of credibility distributions for fuzzy variables*. IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, Vol. 16(1), 123-129.
- [22] Li, X., Wang, K., Liu, L., Xin, J., Yang, H., Gao, C. (2011). *Application of the entropy weight and TOPSIS method in safety evaluation of coal mines*. Procedia Engineering, Vol. 26, 2085-91.
- [23] Mishra, A.R. and Rani, P. (2018). *Biparametric information measures-based TODIM technique for interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy environment*. Arabian Journal of Science and Engineering, Vol. 43(6),3291-3309.
- [24] Pal, N.R., Pal, S.K., (1989). *Object background segmentation using new definition of entropy*. Proc. Inst. Electron 671 Eng Vol.136, 284-295.
- [25] Pal, N.R., Pal S.R., (1992). *Higher order fuzzy entropy and hybrid entropy of a set*. Information sciences, Vol.61(3), 211-231.
- [26] Sharma, B. and Taneja, I. (1975). *Entropies of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and other generalized measures of information theory*, Metrika, 22:202-215.
- [27] Tsalli, C. (1988). *Possible generalization of Boltzman-Gibbs statistics*. Journal of Statistical Physics, Vol. 52 (1-2), 480-487.
- [28] Verma, R. and Sharma, B.D.(2011). *On generalized exponential fuzzy entropy*, International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, Vol.5(12), 1402-1405.
- [29] Wang, T.C. and Lee, H.D. (2009). *Developing a fuzzy TOPSIS approach based on subjective weights and objective weights*. Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 36(5), 8980-5.
- [30] Wu, S., Fu, Y., Shen, H., Liu, F.(2018). *Using ranked weights and Shannon entropy to modify regional sustainable society index*. Sustainable Cities and Society, Vol.41, 443-448.

- [31] Xiong, S.H., Wu, S., Chen, Z.S.and Li, Y.L.(2017). *Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy entropy and its application in weight determination*, Control and Decision, Vol. 32(5), 845-854.
- [32] Yager, R.R.(1979). *On the measure of fuzzy ness and negation.Part 1:membership in the unit interval*, International Journal of General Systems ,Vol. 5(4), 221-229.
- [33] Zadeh, L.A.(1965). *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, Vol.8, 338-353.
- [34] Zadeh, L.A. (1968). *Probability measures of fuzzy events*. J Math Anal. Appl. 23:421-427.
- [35] Zhang, W.R.(1994). *Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: a computational framework for cognitive modeling and multiagent decision analysis*, In: Proceedings of IEEE Conference Fuzzy Information Processing Society Biannual Conference, 305-309 .

Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana-Ambala 133207, India. E-mail: vikasarya1988@gmail.com

Major area(s): Information coding theory, measure theory, fuzzy information measure, decision making problems, fuzzy entropy.

Department of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana-Ambala 133207, India. E-mail: drsatish74@rediffmail.com

Major area(s): Information coding theory, measure theory, topology, fuzzy information measure, decision making problems, fuzzy entropy, pattern recognition.