
Emperor International Journal of Finance and Management Research

ISSN: 2395-5929

Mayas Publication

www.mayas.info

Volume-XII

Issue-III

March 2026

“Reconsidering Fundamental Economic Laws through the Lens of Paintings- an Exceptional Economic Goods”

Dr. Datta Kamakar

*Associate Professor, Department of Economics,
Sbri Hurakadli Aija Shikashana Samiti's,
Smt. K.S. Jigalur Arts & Dr. S.M. Sheshgiri Commerce,
College for Women, Dharwad.*

Abstract

The Science of Economics studies the production, distribution, exchange, and consumption of goods and services through fundamental principles such as the law of demand and supply, utility analysis, scarcity and problem of choice, value, and market equilibrium. However, paintings occupy a unique position among economic goods because they simultaneously function as cultural assets, aesthetic expressions, symbolic objects, and investment instruments. The paintings are often characterized by uniqueness, emotional valuation, limited reproducibility, and subjective utility.

This research article develops a theoretical framework explaining why paintings are exceptional to the fundamental laws of economics. The study adopts a descriptive and conceptual approach using secondary data, observation methods to examine how paintings challenge classical economic assumptions. The paper argues that while economic laws continue to operate within art markets, paintings introduce dimensions of emotional value, cultural capital, scarcity, and speculative behavior that alter conventional economic interpretations to Modern Economics of Fine Arts.

Keywords: Paintings, Economics, Art Market, Scarcity, Utility, Cultural Economics, Value Theory, Consumer Behaviour.

I.INTRODUCTION

The basic principles of Economics, generally assumes that consumers act rationally to maximize utility out of his spending. The market prices fixed by interactions between demand and supply of the product. The conventional goods are evaluated based on usage, functionality, production cost, and measurable utility.

The Paintings value differs significantly because their value often arises from heterogeneity features. A painting can be purchased not only for decoration but also

for emotional satisfaction, prestige, identity, cultural preservation, and investment appreciation. It has use and exchange value in the society

Research Objective

1. To examine why paintings are exceptional products to the fundamental laws in economics.
2. To construct descriptive framework connecting art and economics

Research Methodology

Secondary Data: The research paper based on secondary data which is collected from published unpublished documents of the public and private sector

Observation Method: Since the objective of the study is to know why paintings are exceptional to the fundamental laws of economics, observations method is adopted to analysis the descriptive subject matter. The observation of art exhibitions, galleries, collector behavior, and market tendencies are used to understand practical valuation mechanisms.

Descriptive Analysis

The collected conceptual information is analyzed descriptively to explain how paintings interact with economic principles differently from ordinary commodities

Scope of Study

The study is restricted to paintings as an exception to the fundamental principles of Economics like the Law of Demand and Supply. It focuses on only theoretical understanding of the subject rather than empirical measurement within economic contexts.

Reviews

The Classical Economic thinkers like Adam Smith, J.S.Mill, J.B.Say, Walker and many other emphasized objective value of the product through money, whereas later the Economists like Prof. Alfred Marshall, A C. Pigue and other recognized subjective utility / value of the product and consumer preference via money. Money being common yard to measure value of objective and subjective items in Economics, has its own limitations, it need not be the sole reason for paintings beings exceptions to the basic laws in Economics (Smith, A.1776).

The fast-growing Cultural Economics introduces better understanding about artistic goods and economic symbolic dimensions of the product. The studies reveal that the artworks generate value because of scarcity, uniqueness, Artist reputation, Consumer utility and investment expectations. The literature further explains that aesthetic experiences cannot be standardized, making paintings distinct from mass-produced goods (Bourdieu, P.1984).

Reconsidering Fundamental Economic Laws

1.Consumer's Extra Satisfaction- Demand Side

The Law of Demand is one of the basic laws in Economics that explain the consumer's inverse behavior with the price of a product. The Classical Economists believed that the demand decreases when price increases but this rule is not applicable to rare products like Paintings and Antiques. In painting markets, it is observed that higher the price of painting, the buyer's desire to have more of these painting-demand increases, because the buyers interpret in mind that the expensive paintings as symbols of prestige, rarity, antique and artistic excellence. All these reasoning are well conceived through the concept of 'Consumer's Surplus'. The Consumer may go without the painting if he does not pay over and above actual price of the painting. The exceptional features of the paintings are the reasons for paintings beings' exception to this Law in Economics

2.One and Only Product in the world'-Supply Side

The other face of the market mechanism is the working of Law Supply in ordinary markets; it establishes the direct relationship between the price and the product. The Painting Market is a special market where the original and unique works which are naturally limited supply are dealt in this market. Since each artwork is heterogeneous in nature and the painting is tied to the artist's fame, time, creativity, and authenticity. The popular announcements like 'one and only in the world', is more applicable to unique artwork. Further, the supply of particular paintings is inelastic in nature and the supply of the painting of same artist is fixed after the death of the artist. These reasoning make paintings exception to the law of supply.

3.Utility is Psychological Phenomenon

Another fundamental force 'Human Psychology' cause for paintings beings' exception to the Painting Market Mechanisms. Utility is generated in the minds of the consumers rather utility is the power of the products. Utility is not power of painting it the power of the mind of the consumer. Example: For same painting, different auctioneers are ready to pay different and higher price during the auction. This is because utility of the paintings defers from one auctioneer to another. Thereby, same painting is supplied for higher and higher price in auction hall on the basis of expected satisfaction (Utility). The paintings generate aesthetic utility, emotional utility, cultural utility and social prestige in the minds of bidders in auction. Thus, this Human Psychology is another base for paintings being exceptions to the price Mechanisms.

4.Value Theory-Quality Vs Quantity Time

The Value Theory conceived by the Traditional thinkers often connects value of the product with the amount of labor (quantity aspect of time in terms of hours) engaged in the production, that stands for Cost of Production. The time

element dominates the production of paintings but it is not only the quantity/amount of time used in production by the labor, but also the quality aspect of time that matters. The value theory ignores quality aspect of the time used in production by the labor. And it is this quality aspect of time that makes paintings exceptions to the fundamental principles of economics

5. Short lived Market Equilibrium

Like other ordinary products there exists equilibrium in painting market, where demand for and supply of paintings equal to one another and price is set, but this equilibrium is spontaneous and short lived. The paintings are not exception to the market mechanisms but the equilibriums in painting market are rare happenings or short lived, as the paintings are exception to the individual market forces i.e. Law of demand and Supply.

6. Investment and Speculative Economics

Leonardo da Vinci's *Salvator Mundi* is the most expensive painting in the world. It holds the record for the highest price ever paid for an artwork, selling for a staggering **\$450.3 million** at a Christie's auction in New York in November 2017



The Paintings increasingly function as alternative investment assets. The Collectors may purchase paintings expecting future appreciation, portfolio diversification, and long-term wealth preservation. This investment behavior further separates paintings from ordinary consumption goods to extraordinary speculative goods. This framework explains that painting prices emerge through multiple interacting dimensions rather than purely conventional market forces.

Findings and Suggestions

Findings

1. Paintings operate under economic principles but display exceptional characteristics.
2. Demand for paintings is influenced by prestige, emotion, and symbolic meaning.
3. Scarcity plays a stronger role in painting valuation than in ordinary commodities.
4. Utility in paintings extends beyond functional satisfaction.
5. Art markets often function under imperfect information and subjective valuation.
6. Paintings increasingly act as investment assets alongside cultural objects.

Suggestions

1. Economic studies should incorporate cultural and emotional dimensions when analyzing artistic products.
2. Art institutions should develop valuation models that combine economic and aesthetic indicators.
3. Future research may apply empirical methods to test this theoretical framework.
4. Art education should introduce basic economic literacy for artists.
5. Policymakers may recognize paintings as both cultural heritage and economic resources.

II. CONCLUSION

The paintings represent exceptional products within the framework of economics because they transform conventional assumptions regarding utility, scarcity, demand, and value. While fundamental economic laws remain relevant, artistic goods introduce emotional, symbolic, and cultural variables that reshape market behavior. Understanding paintings through an integrated economic-artistic perspective enables a broader interpretation of value creation in contemporary society. Thus, paintings have become exceptional economic products to the Theory of Value due to their ability to transcend conventional consumption patterns.

III. REFERENCES

1. Baumol, W. J. (1986). *Unnatural value: Or art investment as floating crap game*. *American Economic Review*, 76(2), 10–14.
2. Becker, G. S. (1976). *The economic approach to human behavior*. University of Chicago Press.
3. Bourdieu, P. (1984). *Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste*. Harvard University Press.
4. Frey, B. S., & Pommerehne, W. W. (1989). *Muses and markets: Explorations in the economics of the arts*. Basil Blackwell.
5. Grampp, W. D. (1989). *Pricing the priceless: Art, artists, and economics*. Basic Books.
6. Heilbrun, J., & Gray, C. M. (2001). *The economics of art and culture* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
7. Marshall, A. (1920). *Principles of economics* (8th ed.). Macmillan.
8. Menger, C. (2006). *Principles of economics*. Ludwig von Mises Institute. (Original work published 1871)
9. Smith, A. (1776/2007). *An inquiry into the nature and causes of the wealth of nations*. MetaLibri.
10. Throsby, D. (2001). *Economics and culture*. Cambridge University Press.
11. Towse, R. (2010). *A textbook of cultural economics*. Cambridge University Press.
12. Velthuis, O. (2005). *Talking prices: Symbolic meanings of prices on the market for contemporary art*. Princeton University Press.
13. Veblen, T. (1899/1994). *The theory of the leisure class*. Dover Publications.

Bibliography (MLA 9th Edition)

1. Baumol, William J. "Unnatural Value: Or Art Investment as Floating Crap Game." *American Economic Review*, vol. 76, no. 2, 1986, pp. 10–14.
2. Bourdieu, Pierre. *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste*. Harvard UP, 1984.
3. Frey, Bruno S., and Werner W. Pommerehne. *Muses and Markets: Explorations in the Economics of the Arts*. Basil Blackwell, 1989.
4. Grampp, William D. *Pricing the Priceless: Art, Artists, and Economics*. Basic Books, 1989.
5. Heilbrun, James, and Charles M. Gray. *The Economics of Art and Culture*. 2nd ed., Cambridge UP, 2001.
6. Marshall, Alfred. *Principles of Economics*. Macmillan, 1920.
7. Throsby, David. *Economics and Culture*. Cambridge UP, 2001.
8. Towse, Ruth. *A Textbook of Cultural Economics*. Cambridge UP, 2010.

9. Velthuis, Olav. *Talking Prices: Symbolic Meanings of Prices on the Market for Contemporary Art*. Princeton UP, 2005.
10. Veblen, Thorstein. *The Theory of the Leisure Class*. Dover Publications, 1994.