## **Emperor International Journal of Management**

ISSN: 2583-1267 Mayas Publication© www.mayas.info

Volume - III Issue - 11 November 2023

# Service Marketing Mix Rankings of management institutes

## Dr. Gajanan P. Mudholkar,

Assistant Professor, School of Commerce and Management Sciences, S.R.T.M. University, Nanded

#### **Abstract**

The service marketing mix elements includes product, price, place, promotion, people, process and physical evidence. The rankings are given by students, faculties, director and management of management institutes. These rankings assist to know the relative importance of marketing mix elements in the context of management education and institutes. In this research paper, researcher made an attempt to assign rankings to the service marketing mix elements according to the perceptions of students, faculties, management and director of management institutes. It is found that the rankings are given in the following order as Rank 1 to Product, Rank 2 to Promotion, Rank 3 to People, Rank 4 to Price, Rank 5 to Process, rank 6 to Physical evidence (Infrastructure) and Rank 7 to Place.

Keywords: Service marketing mix, rankings and management institutes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Major marketing Mix elements as designed by Prof. E. McCarthy are as follows: Product, Price, Place and Promotion: In this research work, the Management Institute's diversified market products, price ranges, places of distribution and the promotional strategies they had used to promote their products were studied.

The first marketing mix element was a product. A product by definition was anything that could be offered to a market for attention, acquisition, use or consumption that might satisfy a need or want. These products could be manipulated depending on what the target market wanted. In this study the products were the students; price meant the fees paid by the students; places meant the geographical location of the various institutes imparting the MBA education and promotion meant marketing strategies/media used for marketing of MBA institutes. It was observed that students always look for new and improved things, and that's why Management Institutes attempted to improve existing products, tried to develop new ones and eliminated old one those were no longer needed or wanted by the management students.

These products were ranging from Bachelor's degree courses like BBA, BBM, BMS, and Masters' degree courses like MBA, MMS, MBS and post graduate diploma courses like PGDM, PGDMM, PGDHRM, PGDOM, PGDIBO and other allied courses and research programs like M. Phil. and Ph.D. in management subjects. The next element, Promotion, was the key element of marketing programmes and was concerned with effective and efficient awareness about these courses. Thus, the major elements of promotion mix were involved advertising, sales promotion, personal selling, direct marketing, public relation and publicity. The Management Institute used all possible components of promotional elements.

The price element related with the institute's course fees had also played an important role in deciding the marketing of Management Institutes.

The place element involved the place of Management Institution i.e. the geographical location of Management Institute had also played a vital role in deciding the marketing of Management Institutes.

Hence marketing of Management Institute had required all possible combination of different marketing mix elements and their component to brand their values.

The medium of marketing used by Management Institutes was divided into Brochures, Newspapers, Websites, Advertisements, and Television.

Here, we found that although most of the Management Institutes were using traditional marketing techniques like newspapers and brochures but they still have not accessed the modern marketing mediums such as websites, advertising, social media etc.

### Objective of the Study

- To compare and rank different service marketing mix elements in management institutes of Maharashtra.
- 2) To study service marketing mix elements of management institutes.

#### Hypothesis of the Study

1)There is no significant difference about rankings of service marketing mix elements of management institutes.

## Research Methodology

In this research an interview and questionnaire solicited for survey were taken in to consideration as a primary source of data. This research was based on deductive reasoning i.e. from more general to more specific (Top-Down approach). The elements of research design are explained as follows:

**Sample Size/ Universe:** - 95 respondent institutes (each for 4 students, 4 faculties and 1 director & 1 management) around 25% of 389.

Target Customers: - Aspiring students, existing students, alumni, faculties and management.

Research Design: - Descriptive, Diagnostic and experimental design.

Sample Design: - Probability random sampling design.

**Population:** - Heterogeneous population of the respondents.

Sampling Design Method: - Probability stratified random sampling design.

**Measurement and Scaling Techniques: -** Nominal scale, ordinal scale, rating scales and Likert Scale.

## Data Analysis and Interpretation

The service marketing mix elements includes product, price, place, promotion, people, process and physical evidence. The rankings are given by students, faculties, director and management of management institutes. These rankings assist to know the relative importance of marketing mix elements in the context of management education and institutes. Their rankings are as follows

Table No. 1.1 Rankings of Service Marketing Mix Elements

Sr. No.	Ranking Parameter	Students	Faculties	Directors	mgmt	Total	Ran k
1.	Product	91	56	21	19	187	Ι
2.	Price	57	58	14	17	146	IV
3.	Place	37	31	2	3	73	VII
4.	Promotion	72	69	18	15	174	II
5.	People (Faculty & Staff)	47	93	15	14	169	III
6.	Process	41	39	13	12	105	V
7.	Physical evidence (Infrastructure)	36	37	10	11	94	VI
	Total	381	383	93	91	948	

From the above table, the consolidated rankings are designed at the last column of the above table and the individual rankings are given in the following table as

Table No. 1.2 Rankings of Servicing Mix Elements

Sr. No.	Ranking Parameter	Students	Faculties	Directors	Management	
1.	Product	Ι	III	I	I	
2.	Price	III	IV	IV	П	
3.	Place	VI	VII	VII	VII	
4.	Promotion	II	II	II	III	
5.	People (Faculty & Staff)	IV	I	III	IV	
6.	Process	V	V	V	V	
7.	Physical evidence (Infrastructure)	VII	VI	VI	VI	
Note: Ranking is in descending order where number 1 is highest and 7 is lowest.						

From the above table, consolidated rankings are given to the service mix elements for all respondents as follows

Table No.1.3 Rankings of Services Mixes

Sr. No.	Ranking Parameter	Rank
1.	Product	Ι
2.	Price	IV
3.	Place	VII
4.	Promotion	II
5.	People (Faculty & Staff)	III
6.	Process	V
7.	Physical evidence (Infrastructure)	VI
	Total	

From the above table, it is clearly observed that the rankings are given in the following order as Rank 1 to Product, Rank 2 to Promotion, Rank 3 to People, Rank 4 to Price, Rank 5 to Process, rank 6 to Physical evidence (Infrastructure) and Rank 7 to Place.

## II. CONCLUSIONS

The service marketing mix elements includes product, price, place, promotion, people, process and physical evidence. The rankings are given by students, faculties, director and management of management institutes. These rankings assist to know the relative importance of marketing mix elements in the context of management education and institutes. It is found that the rankings are given in the following order as Rank 1 to Product, Rank 2 to Promotion, Rank 3 to People, Rank 4 to Price, Rank 5 to Process, rank 6 to Physical evidence (Infrastructure) and Rank 7 to Place.

### III. REFERENCES

- 1. Berry, L. L and Parasu ram an, A., *Marketing Services: Competing Through Quafik* Free Press, New York, 1991.
- 2. Cannon, Tom, Basic Marketing Principles and Practice, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, London, 1980.
- 3. Cravens, David, W., Hills, Gerald, E., and Woodruff, Robert, B., Marketing Management, Richard D. Irwin Inc. Homewood, Illinois, 1994.
- 4. Evans, Joel, R., and Berman, Barry; Principles of Marketing, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1995.
- 5. Ezepiel, John, A., Competitive Marketing Strategy, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1992.
- 6. Gandhi, J. C., Marketing-A Managerial Introduction, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi, 1991.
- 7. Kotler, Philip, Marketing Management: Analysis, Planning, Implementation and Control, Prentice Hall of india, New Delhi, 1990.
- 8. Kotler, Philip and Bloom, Paul, N., Marketing Professional Services, Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1984.
- 9. Kotler, Philip and Armstrong, Gary, Principles of Marketing, Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, 1996.
- 10. Lovelock, C.H., Service Marketing, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1996.
- 11. Mamoria, C.B., Suri, R.K., and Mamoria, Satish, Marketing Management, Kitab Mahal, Allahabad, 2000..
- 12. Cannon, Tom, Basic Marketing Principles and Practice, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, London, 1980.

- 13. Mayakkannan (2019) <u>Customer perception on service quality towards retail banking in Chennai;</u> retailing: trends in the new millennium, 2019; MJP Publisher.
- 14. Sumathy, KP Vipin (2017) Digital payment systems: Perception and concerns among urban consumers; International Journal of Applied Research: volume 3 issue 6 Pp 1118-1122.
- Mayakkannan (2017) A Study on Employee Perception on Public Sector Banks in Chennai City; International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research; Volume 15 Number 21 (Part 2) PP 29-40 Serials Publications Pvt. Ltd.
- 16. Carmines, E.C. and Mclver, J.P. (1981). 'Analyzing models with unobserved variable', In Bohrnstedt G, W. and Borgatta, E.F (ed). Social Measurement: Sage, Beverly Hills.
- 17. Wheaton. B., Muthen, B; Alwin, D.F and summers, G.F. (1977). 'Assessing reliability and stability in panel models', In Heise, D.R. (ed.), pp 84-136, Sociological Methodology, Joessey-Bas, San Francisco.
- **18.** Wright, Sewall S. (1921). "Correlation and causation". Journal of Agricultural Research 20: 557–85.
- 19. Dr M. Sumathy (2010) Banking Industry Vision-2010, the Indian banker; Volume2pp33-37.
- 20. Mayakkannan (2020) A study on performance evaluation of selected public and private sector banks through camel model in India; Purakala; Volume 31 Issue: 25 pp 202-206.
- 21. American Marketing Association Committee on Definitions, A Glossary of Marketing Terms, Chicago, 1960.
- 22. Assael, H., Consurner Behaviour and Marketing Action, Kent Publishing Company, Boston, 1987.
- 23. Balachandran, S., *Customer Driven Services* Management, Response Books, A Division of Sage Publications, New Delhi, 1999.
- 24. Berry, L. L and Parasu ram an, A., *Marketing Services: Competing Through Quafik* Free Press, New York, 1991.
- 25. Booms, B.H. and Bitner, M. J., Marketing Strategies and Organisation Structures for Services Firms, in Donnelly, J.H. and George, W.R. (Eds.), Marketing of Services, American Marketing Association, 1982.
- 26. Burnett, John, J., Promotion Management, A.1.T.B.S Publishers and Distributors, Delhi, 1998.