Emperor Journal of English Studies

ISSN: 2583-309X **Mayas Publication®** www.mayas.info

Volume-V **Issue-III** **March 2026**

The Unconscious Behind the Crime: A Freudian Psychoanalytic Reading of Ian McEwan's Atonement

A.Praveen Samuel
2421316021003
Research Scholar,
Department of English,
Scott Christian College,
Nagercoil, TamilNadu,
India.

Abstract

Ian McEwan's Atonement presents a narrative in which a single act of childhood misinterpretation develops into lifelong guilt, memory, fantasy, and an attempt at moral repair. This paper applies traditional Freudian psychoanalytic criticism to the novel, focusing on repression, unconscious desire, guilt, fantasy, and the return of the repressed. Briony Tallis's accusation against Robbie Turner is read not simply as the product of childish innocence but as an event shaped by the unconscious processes through which she interprets adult sexuality, jealousy, fear, and her own imaginative desires. The fountain scene, the library encounter, the accusation, Briony's later nursing career, and her final act of authorship reveal different stages of psychological conflict. Freud's account of repression is particularly useful because Briony repeatedly attempts to control, revise, and narrativize disturbing memories rather than confronting them directly. Her fictional reunion of Cecilia and Robbie can consequently be understood as a fantasy through which she seeks symbolic satisfaction and relief from guilt. The paper argues that Atonement makes the act of storytelling inseparable from unconscious conflict: Briony writes not only to remember the past but also to construct an emotionally bearable version of it. A Freudian reading therefore illuminates how the novel connects guilt, desire, memory, fantasy, and the limits of atonement.

Keywords: Ian McEwan, Atonement, Sigmund Freud, psychoanalytic criticism, repression, guilt, fantasy, unconscious.

I.INTRODUCTION

Ian McEwan's Atonement is a novel deeply concerned with the relationship between an event and the mind that remembers, interprets, and reconstructs that event. The central catastrophe occurs in the Tallis family home in 1935, when thirteen-year-old Briony Tallis witnesses a series of encounters involving her sister Cecilia and Robbie Turner. Because Briony does not possess the emotional maturity or sexual knowledge necessary to understand what she sees, she converts uncertainty into a coherent story. Her interpretation becomes an accusation, and the accusation changes the lives of Cecilia, Robbie, and Briony herself. The later parts of the novel follow the consequences of this act through war, nursing, guilt, memory, and writing. The final section then forces the reader to reconsider the apparent reality of the preceding narrative because Briony reveals that she has created a fictional reunion for Cecilia and Robbie. This structure makes the novel particularly suitable for psychoanalytic interpretation.

Psychoanalytic criticism is concerned with the relationship between conscious behaviour and unconscious processes. In Freud's model, human actions cannot always be explained by rational intention because desires, fears, memories, and conflicts may remain outside conscious awareness. Repression is especially important: an unacceptable or disturbing thought may be pushed away from consciousness, yet it can continue to influence behaviour in disguised forms. Freud's later discussion of remembering and repetition also suggests that unresolved experiences may return indirectly rather than becoming fully mastered through ordinary recollection. These concepts provide a useful framework for understanding Briony's development without reducing the character to a clinical diagnosis. The novel itself gives repeated evidence of her attempts to control disturbing experience through imagination, explanation, revision, and fiction.

A Freudian reading of Atonement is also productive because Briony's mistake is connected to her imagination. She is already a child who constructs stories and dramatic scenes before she understands the real emotional lives of the adults around her. Her attraction to order and narrative control becomes significant when she encounters behaviour that cannot easily be fitted into her existing categories. The fountain scene, Robbie's letter, the scene in the library, and the accusation therefore become psychologically important not merely because of what happens externally but because of how Briony transforms what she sees into an internal story. The novel subsequently presents writing as both a response to guilt and a means of controlling the past.

This paper argues that Atonement can be productively read through traditional Freudian psychoanalytic theory because Briony's actions reveal a sustained conflict among unconscious desire, fear, guilt, repression, fantasy, and the

wish for psychic resolution. Her final novel-within-the-novel is not simply an apology; it is also a fantasy construction that gives her a form of control over a past she cannot change.

Freudian Psychoanalytic Theory and the Novel

Traditional psychoanalytic criticism begins with Freud's proposition that conscious life is only part of psychic life. In The Interpretation of Dreams, Freud describes dreams as meaningful formations in which wishes and conflicts may appear in transformed or disguised forms. In "Repression," he explains repression as a process through which an unacceptable idea or impulse is kept away from consciousness while continuing to have effects. His later essay "Remembering, Repeating and Working-Through" further complicates memory by showing that people do not always simply remember an unresolved experience; they may repeat or reconstruct aspects of it. These ideas can be transferred carefully to literary characters as interpretive tools rather than as medical diagnoses.

Several Freudian concepts are particularly relevant to *Atonement*. First is repression. Briony repeatedly attempts to impose order on experiences that disturb her understanding of sexuality, adulthood, and responsibility. Second is guilt. After her accusation, guilt becomes a persistent force that shapes her adult identity and her relationship to the past. Third is fantasy. Briony's imagination allows her to transform an unbearable reality into a more satisfying narrative. Finally, the return of the repressed is useful for examining the recurrence of the 1935 events in her later life. The past does not disappear; it returns through memory, writing, and repeated attempts to narrate what happened.

The usefulness of this framework is strengthened by the novel's formal design. Scholarship on Atonement has frequently examined its unreliable narration. Huw Marsh argues that the novel's final revelation raises questions about Briony's reliability, the implied author, and the way readers revise their understanding on rereading. This narrative uncertainty complements a psychoanalytic reading because Briony's account is not a transparent record of external reality. It is a constructed narrative shaped by what she remembers, what she imagines, what she wishes had happened, and what she wants to communicate to herself and others.

Briony's Imagination and the Misreading of Sexuality

At the beginning of Atonement, Briony is characterized by an intense desire for order. She writes plays, organizes her cousins, and imagines herself as the director of events. This imaginative tendency becomes psychologically significant when she encounters the fountain scene involving Cecilia and Robbie. From an adult perspective, the encounter can be understood through the complex emotional relationship between two young adults. Briony, however, lacks the knowledge

required to interpret their behaviour accurately. She therefore fills the gap between what she sees and what she understands with imagination.

A psychoanalytic interpretation does not require the claim that Briony consciously desires the relationship she misreads. Rather, the scene exposes a conflict between what she can observe and what she can psychically accommodate. Sexuality is present but remains mysterious to her. The fountain episode becomes an image around which fear, curiosity, jealousy, and narrative invention gather. Her mind seeks a clear explanation because ambiguity is uncomfortable. In this sense, the event demonstrates how an external scene can become transformed by an internal psychological structure.

Robbie's letter intensifies this process. Briony encounters language whose sexual explicitness shocks her. Instead of recognizing the letter as evidence of a complicated adult relationship, she interprets it within the dramatic categories available to her. Her later understanding of the letter cannot erase the psychological effect of the original encounter. The moment becomes part of the evidence from which she constructs her accusation. The unconscious dimension of this process lies in the gap between what Briony believes she is doing and the emotional forces that organize her interpretation.

The novel therefore presents perception as more than simple observation. Briony sees, but seeing does not guarantee understanding. Psychoanalytic criticism is valuable here because it asks what lies behind an apparently rational interpretation. Briony's accusation appears to be a conscious statement about Robbie, yet it emerges from a mind struggling with unfamiliar sexuality, emotional uncertainty, and the need to transform ambiguity into a stable story.

The Library Scene: Desire, Fear, and the Unconscious

The library scene is the decisive moment in Briony's interpretation of Cecilia and Robbie. She sees the couple together but does not understand the emotional and sexual significance of their encounter. The scene becomes the final confirmation of a story that has already begun to form in her mind. The importance of the scene, therefore, lies not only in what occurs between Cecilia and Robbie but in the psychological process through which Briony interprets it.

From a Freudian perspective, the scene brings unconscious conflict to the surface because it confronts Briony with adult desire. She is positioned as an observer of an intimate world from which she is excluded. The distinction between the adult couple and the child observer is crucial. Briony wants to know what the adults know, yet the knowledge she obtains is fragmented and emotionally disturbing. Her imagination supplies the missing connections. Instead of accepting uncertainty, she produces a story in which the ambiguous man becomes a clearly identifiable threat.

This process resembles the transformation of psychic conflict into an external explanation. Briony cannot easily process the complexity of desire, consent, attraction, and adult intimacy, so she assigns moral meaning to what she sees. Robbie becomes the figure onto whom her fear can be projected. The accusation consequently functions as a psychological simplification: a complicated situation is converted into a narrative of victim and criminal. Such simplification gives Briony a sense of certainty, even though it produces catastrophic consequences.

The scene also reveals the relationship between fantasy and authority. Briony's imaginative construction acquires institutional force when she repeats it to the adults and later to the police. Her private interpretation becomes a public reality. The tragedy of the novel lies partly in this movement from imagination to social consequence. A child's subjective narrative is treated as reliable testimony, and the consequences cannot be reversed by later recognition.

Guilt and the Return of the Past

After Robbie's arrest, Briony's relationship with the past changes. The childhood certainty that once allowed her to accuse him gradually gives way to guilt and self-questioning. Psychoanalytically, this transition can be understood as a movement from an initially defensive interpretation toward the return of repressed knowledge. What Briony attempted to stabilize through her accusation becomes the source of an enduring internal conflict.

Her adult life as a nurse is significant in this respect. Nursing places her in direct contact with bodily injury, suffering, death, and the consequences of war. Although she does not simply confess her childhood guilt to every patient, her work creates a setting in which suffering is no longer an abstract story. The wounded bodies around her provide a concrete counterpoint to the imaginative structures of her childhood. She learns to care for others while carrying an unresolved responsibility for the suffering caused by her earlier testimony.

Freud's concept of guilt is useful because guilt does not operate merely as a rational calculation. It can persist, return, and shape identity. Briony cannot undo what happened to Robbie and Cecilia. She therefore searches for another form of action: writing. Her literary activity becomes a way of returning to the scene and altering its narrative meaning. In this sense, the past returns repeatedly because it has not been psychologically mastered.

The novel's final revelation makes this process even more complex. Briony has not merely remembered the past; she has rewritten it. Her fictional reunion of Robbie and Cecilia allows her to provide them with the future that history denied them. This is both an expression of guilt and a fantasy of repair. The fiction cannot restore the dead, but it can temporarily create a world in which the punishment is reversed and the lovers receive the life Briony believes they deserved.

Fantasy and the Desire for *Atonement*

The final section of *Atonement* is central to a Freudian interpretation because it reveals the relationship between guilt and fantasy. Briony admits that the apparent happy resolution of Cecilia and Robbie's story is her invention. Their reunion therefore exists inside the imaginative world of the text rather than the historical world. This revelation transforms the reader's understanding of the entire novel.

Fantasy in psychoanalytic theory does not simply mean an unrealistic daydream. It can provide an imagined arrangement through which conflict becomes temporarily bearable. Briony cannot change the past, but she can write a different ending. The fictional reunion satisfies a desire that reality cannot satisfy: Cecilia and Robbie are allowed to live, love, and remain together. At the same time, Briony is able to position herself as the person who gives them that symbolic life. Her writing thus has a double function. It acknowledges her guilt while also allowing her to exercise authorial control over the consequences of her guilt. This creates an important paradox. Briony seeks atonement through an act of artistic creation, but the creation itself depends on invention. She knows that she cannot provide literal justice, so she provides narrative justice. Her writing is therefore neither a complete confession nor a simple factual record. It is a psychological compromise between acknowledging what cannot be repaired and imagining what she wishes could have been repaired.

The final fictional reunion can also be understood through Freud's idea that the mind may seek forms of wish fulfilment. Briony's deepest wish is not simply that the lovers be happy; it is that the destructive consequences of her childhood action could somehow be cancelled. The invented ending symbolically cancels them. Yet the novel refuses to allow this fantasy to become equivalent to reality. The reader learns that Robbie and Cecilia died separately, and therefore the fictional reunion remains an imaginative construction. This tension gives the concept of atonement a psychological dimension. Briony's writing cannot absolve her completely because the victims cannot receive the apology. Nevertheless, writing gives form to guilt. By converting private remorse into narrative, she creates a structure within which she can revisit the past. The novel consequently portrays storytelling as both a defence against unbearable reality and an attempt to confront it.

Repression, Memory, and Narrative Reconstruction

Briony's memory is not presented as a neutral archive. It is repeatedly reconstructed through later knowledge and imaginative interpretation. This makes Freud's concept of repression particularly useful. Repressed material does not simply disappear; it may return indirectly through repetition, symbolic substitution, or displaced forms. Briony's repeated return to 1935 suggests that the event remains psychologically active throughout her life.

The novel's narrative structure reinforces this interpretation. The reader initially receives events through perspectives that appear authoritative, but the final section changes the status of the earlier narrative. Briony has been shaping the story from behind the scenes. Critical scholarship has emphasized that the novel's ending requires readers to reconsider questions of reliability and the relation between Briony's mature account and the events she claims to reconstruct. The psychological significance of this structure is that memory and invention become difficult to separate.

Briony's reconstruction is particularly revealing because she possesses more knowledge as an adult than she did as a child. She can now understand the relationship between Cecilia and Robbie and the consequences of her accusation. Yet increased knowledge does not restore the past. Instead, it gives her new materials with which to rewrite it. Her mature consciousness therefore does not eliminate the childhood event; it surrounds it with explanations, guilt, research, and fiction.

The result is a form of narrative repetition. Briony repeatedly returns to the same emotional centre, but each return changes its meaning. As a child she sees Robbie as a criminal; as an adult she recognizes her own error; as a writer she creates a fictional world in which the consequences are altered. The event remains the same, but its psychological meaning changes. A psychoanalytic reading therefore treats the novel's repetitions not as unnecessary retelling but as evidence of unresolved psychic conflict.

Atonement as Psychological Compensation

The title *Atonement* itself suggests moral and religious reconciliation, but the novel complicates the possibility of genuine repair. Briony can confess, remember, work, and write, yet none of these acts can restore Robbie and Cecilia. Her final literary gesture therefore functions as a form of psychological compensation rather than literal restitution.

From a Freudian perspective, compensation may be understood through the relationship between guilt and symbolic action. When direct repair is impossible, the psyche may seek another form of satisfaction. Briony's novel becomes that symbolic action. She gives Robbie and Cecilia the future that she knows they did not have. In doing so, she also gives herself the role of author, witness, and imagined redeemer.

However, McEwan prevents this compensation from becoming morally simple. Briony's creative power is itself troubling because it resembles the power that caused the original damage. As a child, she used narrative to turn uncertainty into accusation. As an adult, she uses narrative to turn historical loss into reunion. In both cases, storytelling changes the apparent meaning of events. The difference is that the adult Briony is aware of the gap between fiction and reality.

This parallel suggests that Briony's development is not a movement from imagination to truth but from unconscious imaginative certainty toward conscious awareness of fiction's power and limitations. She does not stop being a storyteller. Instead, she becomes a storyteller who understands that stories can injure as well as console. Her final narrative therefore represents an ethical awareness that was absent in her childhood, even though it cannot erase the consequences of her earlier story.

II.CONCLUSION

Ian McEwan's Atonement can be read productively through traditional Freudian psychoanalytic theory because the novel repeatedly explores the psychological forces that operate beneath conscious interpretation. Briony's childhood accusation emerges from a mind attempting to organize confusing experiences of sexuality, intimacy, fear, and uncertainty. Her later guilt reveals that the original interpretation cannot remain psychologically stable once she understands its consequences. The past returns through memory, nursing, self-reflection, and literary reconstruction. Finally, her invented reunion of Cecilia and Robbie becomes a fantasy of repair that symbolically satisfies a desire that reality cannot satisfy.

The Freudian concepts of repression, guilt, fantasy, and the return of the repressed therefore illuminate the relationship between Briony's inner life and the novel's narrative form. Her story is not simply about remembering a mistake; it is about the psychological need to give that mistake meaning. Writing becomes the mechanism through which she repeatedly revisits the past, but it also becomes the means through which she controls it. This dual function explains why the final fiction is both an act of responsibility and an act of imagination.

The novel ultimately places a limit on the possibility of atonement. Briony can recognize the damage, preserve the memory of Robbie and Cecilia, and construct a fictional world in which they receive the happiness denied to them. She cannot, however, make fiction become history. A Freudian reading thus reveals the deepest tension in *Atonement*: the mind attempts to master guilt through narrative, but narrative can never completely undo reality. Briony's final act of storytelling is consequently significant not because it repairs the past, but because it exposes the human desire to repair what cannot be repaired.

III. REFERENCES

1. Freud, Sigmund. "Remembering, Repeating and Working-Through." The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud, translated and edited by James Strachey, vol. 12, Hogarth Press, 1958, pp. 145–56.
2. Freud, Sigmund. "Repression." The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud, translated and edited by James Strachey, vol. 14, Hogarth Press, 1957, pp. 141–58.
3. Freud, Sigmund. *The Interpretation of Dreams*. Translated and edited by James Strachey, Basic Books, 2010.
4. Marsh, Huw. "Narrative Unreliability and Metarepresentation in Ian McEwan's Atonement; or, Why Robbie Might Be Guilty and Why Nobody Seems to Notice." Textual Practice, vol. 32, no. 8, 2018, pp. 1325–43. Taylor & Francis, doi:10.1080/0950236X.2016.1276955.
5. McEwan, Ian. *Atonement*. Jonathan Cape, 2001.